

Appendix E (i) Summary of additional feedback received

Source	Theme	Comments received
Local residents Uley. 4 emails and 1 letter: objections 1 objection from tenant impacted These residents have objected or complained/commented about the redevelopment at the Knoll.	Highway Safety:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • School, café and Prema Arts Centre leads to congestion on South Street • Limited on-street parking. • Danger to school children walking/cycling • Blind bend • Lack of pavement
	Unsuitable location	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Linked to highway safety concerns , setting of the site, impact of development • Other places abandoned, empty and unused in the village
	Residents and tenants forced to move	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emotional distress to residents • Residents won't be re housed in the village
	Lack of maintenance of Swedish houses has led to the need to demolish	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Deliberately neglected • In good repair • Regular repairs stopped • Some 'abused' by tenants • Reasons for redevelopment 'unfounded'
	Energy Efficiency/Environmental concerns	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Swedish Timber are solid in construction and warm • EPC 'C', with some internal insulation • Can the Council achieve an EPC 'A' rating? • Potential loss of trees/ wildlife habitat • Potential drainage problems
	Heritage value of the Swedish Timber Houses	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gifted by Sweden • Historical interest • Could be repaired • Valued by the community
	Support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need for affordable housing recognised/supported but not in this location